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The Project Context

The Advancing Transport Climate Strategies (TraCS) project is implemented by the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) and funded through the International Climate Initiative of the German Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety (BMUB).

Its objective is to enable policy makers in partner countries (Vietnam and Kenya) to specify the contribution of the transport sector to their respective Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). In addition, detailed knowledge on mitigation potential can lead to raising the level of the two countries’ ambitions.

The project follows a multi-level approach:

At the country level, TraCS supports (transport) ministries and other relevant authorities in systematically assessing GHG emissions in the transport sector and calculating emission reduction potential through the development of scenarios.

At the international level, TraCS organises active exchanges between implementing partners, technical experts, and donor organisations to enhance methodological coherence in emission quantification in the transport sector (South-South and South-North dialogue). The dialogue aims to increase international transparency regarding emissions mitigation potential and the harmonisation of methodological approaches in the transport sector.
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In preparation for the COP21 Paris Climate Conference in December 2015, more than 160 countries submitted their Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and increase resilience. A high level analysis of the NDC documents carried out by GIZ showed that transport has been recognized as a sector of key relevance for climate change. Due to the limited level of information provided in the official NDC documents, a more in-depth analysis at the country level is needed to be able to assess the role of transport in the NDC development and implementation process. To gain such insight for the transport sector in rapidly-motorising countries, seven case studies were carried out in the following countries: Bangladesh, Colombia, Georgia, Kenya, Nigeria, Peru and Vietnam. Data for the analysis was gathered through literature research and stakeholder interviews and complemented by experiences from GIZ’s and Ricardo’s day-to-day work in countries.

Whilst the situation for the first INDC development was unique, the analysis of the INDC development process helped identify lessons learnt that are relevant for the future steps in the NDC cycle. A particular focus of the analysis was the identification of factors limiting ambition in the first round of NDCs.

Four key lessons learnt are:

- Lack of transport data limits the sectoral ambition
- Buy-in from key transport actors is essential for ambitious sector targets
- NDC should be more closely linked with transport sector strategies
- Transport authorities need more climate change expertise

Based on the identified challenges and lessons learnt from the INDC development process, a range of recommendations were developed, aimed at different target groups. For all recommendations, the NDC timeline has to be considered and timings developed accordingly. More specifically, the NDC timeline can be broken down into three iterative process steps, which partly run in parallel: (1) Preparation of the NDC groundwork, (2) development and negotiation of the NDC, and (3) NDC implementation and integration in sectoral policies. The figure below illustrates this repeating cycle.
Climate ministries are particularly relevant in steering the NDC development process and typically lead the development of the NDC. During phase 1 it is essential to build capacities on transport within climate ministries and allocate sufficient resources and time to support the assessment of measures. Ideally, gaps in the modelling of mitigation measures for the transport sector, e.g. sustainable development benefits, emission factors or abatement costs, are closed and data sharing agreements facilitated. In phase 2 climate ministries are in the lead. They need to consider transport sector plans in NDC development and suggest transport sector targets based on assessment of mitigation potentials. Climate ministries also need to actively engage transport stakeholders early in the process and coordinate with the energy sector in case renewable energy is needed for transport. Finally, in phase 3, institutional arrangements, (e.g. a transport working group) involving all relevant ministries (e.g. ministry of transport, energy, finance, etc.), to coordinate NDC implementation in transport could be set-up. However, still, climate ministries need to engage in detailed discussions of policy development to advocate for effective implementation and organization of review meetings and to request evaluation of measures.

Transport ministries are generally in charge of policy development and implementation of measures in the transport sector, as well as key activities for NDC implementation. They also have to collect and provide relevant transport data. During phase 1, transport ministries therefore need to develop dedicated climate change units within transport ministries (personnel / budget). These experts can then establish periodic and recurring data collection processes, of which timescales should be in line with the 5-year NDC cycle. During phase 2, the negotiation of the NDC, transport ministries primarily need to actively participate in NDC development stakeholder groups. Then in the implementation phase, they need to take the lead on NDC implementation plans for transport and mainstream NDC objectives into transport policies. This requires allocating budget resources to implement mitigation actions and getting hold of capacity development programmes for transport authorities, also for the local/regional level. Last but not least they need to ensure the support of the private sector and NGOs in integrated and cooperative stakeholder engagement processes.

Donor organisations can support government agencies with financial and technical assistance across all NDC steps. Essentially, donor and international cooperation support should enable countries to increase the level of ambition of their GHG mitigation (and climate adaptation) efforts and support implementation. The key is to ensure that the support provided is timely and linked to the NDC cycle. During phase 1 donors can provide access to expertise on transport and climate change (e.g. helpdesk on transport data and mitigation actions) and support for data collection and modelling, as well as policy design. It is important to coordinate among donors working in transport in general, and not just those focused on climate and NDCs. During phase 2 donor support is limited. They could provide insights into best-practice stakeholder engagement processes and communicate the sustainable development benefits of transport mitigation actions (e.g. increasing energy security, reducing air pollution, etc.). During implementation (phase 3) donors can enable transport agencies to access climate finance or directly provide earmarked climate finance to transport. This includes supporting pipeline development for transport mitigation actions (e.g. pre-feasibility studies, stakeholder consultations) to increase the number of bankable projects. Last but not least, donors need to provide not only financial but technical assistance on climate action implementation (e.g. policy design).

Providing an outlook on how ambition in transport sections of NDCs can be raised further, the paper identifies the following:

• Use back-casting to align NDCs with long-term decarbonisation pathways
• Getting more renewable energy in the transport sector is essential
• Climate finance for decarbonising transport will need to trigger changes in national budgets
• Promotion of sustainable development benefits of transport mitigation actions will help ministries to priorities climate action
1. Introduction

In preparation for the COP21 Paris Climate Conference in December 2015, more than 160 countries submitted their Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and increase resilience. To date, 160 out of 197 Parties to the Convention have ratified the Paris Agreement, transforming INDCs into Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). The NDCs provide a confirmed framework for undertaking mitigation measures across identified sectors, with the requirement to submit updated and more ambitious NDCs every 5 years.

The countries are currently developing NDC implementation plans and transport climate actions (formerly also known as Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions, NAMAs). The next NDC submission most likely looking at 2030/35 targets is planned for 2020. Against this backdrop, NDCs are very relevant for the transport sector, a sector that contributes 23% of global direct energy-related CO₂ emissions (IEA, 2017) and is key for meeting national emission reduction targets. Between 2010 and 2015 emissions increased by 2.5% annually (IEA 2017). Most of the future growth is forecasted in non-OECD countries.

NDCs and the 5-year cycle for revision will be important for guiding the sector on a decarbonisation pathway. The long-term goal of the Paris Climate Agreement, to limit global average temperature increase to well below 2°C, ultimately means to achieve economy-wide net-zero GHG emissions by 2050 or shortly thereafter. However, NDCs are political documents often providing little detail on the processes that led to their formulation. To allow for an assessment of the role of transport in NDC development, a review of all NDCs submitted to the UNFCCC was complemented with seven case studies on NDC development in rapidly-motorising countries.

This paper provides a summary of the lessons learnt from this analysis. This includes first hand work experiences of GIZ and Ricardo in various partner countries. The paper is highlighting key challenges and needs, success stories and a set of general recommendations. The aim is to inform stakeholders involved in implementing transport-related elements of NDCs and revising NDCs before 2020. The analysis should also help GIZ and other organisations supporting NDC implementation in transport to optimise its advisory services. For example, the Advancing Transport Climate Strategies (TraCS) project supports Vietnam and Kenya in implementing their NDCs in the transport sector. This study is part of the global component of the project, funded through the International Climate Initiative of the German Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety (BMUB).
2. Overview of the transport sector in NDCs

Shortly after COP21 Paris, the Partnership on Sustainable Low Carbon Transport (SLoCaT) conducted an analysis of the transport commitments captured in the submitted INDCs (SLoCaT, 2016). A similar, updated review of the 163 NDCs – including INDCs of those countries that did not ratify the Paris Agreement – was carried out by GIZ in 2017 and it confirmed that many countries have specifically acknowledged the importance of the transport sector for achieving national emission reduction targets.

Figure 1 shows the extent to which the transport sector is covered by the 163 NDCs across the globe. 140 NDCs (86%) identify transport as an important source of GHG emissions and an area for action. While adaptation to climate change in transport is only be mentioned by 23 countries, 105 NDCs (65%) define mitigation actions. 23 NDCs even set a transport sector emission reduction target, e.g. Japan intends to reduce transport emissions 27% below 2013 levels by 2030 (target: 163 MT CO₂e). A similar number of NDCs list targets for the transport sector not expressed in emissions, e.g. China aims to increase its share of public transport in motorised travel in big- and medium-sized cities to 30% by 2020. These numbers illustrate that transport has been recognized as a key sector for mitigation and the demand for climate change mitigation in the transport sector is high.

A review of the transport mitigation actions listed in NDCs from developing and emerging countries, showed that the distribution of mitigation actions has a strong focus on fuels and vehicles (89 non-annex 1 countries listed actions) and urban transport (54 countries listed actions). Infrastructure such as road and rail is another area that was highly recognised (47 non-annex 1 countries listed actions), whereas the freight logistics was only noted by 6 non-annex 1 countries (see Figure 2). It should be kept in mind though, that some measures might cut across different topics. E.g. infrastructure measures are a prerequisite for a shift to rail, and therefore relevant for freight transport. Furthermore, not all countries have mentioned (all) mitigation actions in their NDCs. As a result, the measures might be better balanced in reality.
Transport has gained momentum in climate change discussions, but pathways and transformative measures how to decarbonise the sector are still not defined.

With respect to the analysis of mitigation actions it is important to note that guidelines on what NDCs should include does not exist. The main purpose of NDCs is to outline targets, and not necessarily pathways of how to achieve them. Usually, countries listed policies, programmes and projects to illustrate these targets and describe needs of the countries. However, the level of transport-related detail in official NDC documents varies significantly from one country to another. For instance, in Kenya’s NDC it refers to its National Climate Change Action Plan, which includes a transport sector reduction target, but it does not specifically mention the target or actions in the NDC itself. Similarly, Peru and Colombia do not include a transport target or actions in its English language NDC submitted to the UNFCCC, but they do so in the extended Spanish language background report that is used internally. Many NDCs only mention mitigation actions in a single sentence, while others give more detail on key action. Viet Nam, for example, lists four mitigation actions (MONRE, 2015): public transport extension in large urban areas, shift of freight from road to rail and inland waterway, establish standards on fuel consumption and encourage buses and taxis to use compressed natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas. Further examples are given in the table on the next page.

In general, the analysis of 163 NDCs showed that transport is important, but the submitted documents do not outline pathways to decarbonising transport. The actions listed in NDCs not only vary to a large extent, they often did not consider (or at least did not include) measures that could be cost-effective but that are not a high priority for transport authorities (e.g. fuel taxation, reform of land-use regulations). Furthermore, most NDCs also did not consider important interlinkages with other sectors e.g. how to ensure that electric vehicles are powered by renewable electricity or what effects electric vehicles have on the energy supply and network. Some countries even listed measures unlikely to have a major greenhouse gas reduction impact, e.g. the construction of highways. While these interventions could be nationally appropriate to improve access and hence serve sustainable development, no real mitigation impact can be expected.

![Figure 2: NDC Transport Mitigation Actions in 149 Non-Annex I countries](http://www.minam.gob.pe/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Informe-T%C3%A9cnico-Final-CM---R-S-129-2015-PCM_Secretar%C3%ADa-T%C3%A9cnica-18-09-2015-vf.pdf)
3. Lessons learnt from case studies

In order to learn from experiences and go beyond general lessons learnt, this section presents a number of illustrative transport related examples from the case studies and highlights good practice during the NDC development process (and first months during subsequent NDC implementation). It concludes with transport specific lessons that could be beneficial for future NDC revision every five years. This ultimately relates to the question of how the countries could implement their NDCs efficiently and become more ambitious in transforming transport.

The case study countries included in the analysis were Bangladesh, Colombia, Georgia, Kenya, Nigeria, Peru and Vietnam. The pool of case study countries is quite diverse, ranging from a population of 4 million in Georgia to 182 million in Nigeria, and spanning four continents. However, the countries share a common concern: a rapid growth in motorisation rates that, without mitigation actions, are projected to result in significant increases in transport-related GHG emissions in future years. Table 1 gives an overview of the key characteristics of these countries, covering current and projected populations, motorisation rates, and GHG emissions, as well as a summary of their NDCs.

The assessment included several key aspects connected to the development of mitigation scenarios and targets for transport sections of NDCs, such as: stakeholder engagement, the level of ownership from transport ministries, the links to national climate change and development policies, and the data used in the analysis and modelling approaches. Each case study drew conclusions from the reflection on the overall process, and proposed future steps in the NDC implementation process. The full report on case studies contains confidential information and is not published.

Several common challenges relating to the NDC development process were due to the extraordinary situation before COP 21. It was the first time countries developed NDCs and the timeframes were very tight. For example, NDC modelling had to be carried out over the course of only a few months, and documents had to be developed rapidly. Countries had to prioritise and focus their efforts on the most dominant sectors. Time and resource constraints also limited the extent to which key stakeholders were involved. Many sectors were not yet familiar with the topic of climate change, and had to be brought up to speed on the relevant issues. The situation for future NDC revisions appears to be different, since the key sectoral actors are already familiar with the process and the timelines are more relaxed. Nevertheless, the lessons are relevant for upcoming NDC cycles. Data collection, modelling, coordination processes and building capacities are long term tasks that need to develop over time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case study country</th>
<th>Population 2015 / 2030</th>
<th>Current / projected motorisation rate</th>
<th>Current transport GHG emissions / share of total</th>
<th>Projected transport GHG emissions / share of total in 2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>161 million / 186 million</td>
<td>5 cars per 1,000 people (in 2014) / n/a</td>
<td>17 MtCO₂e / 14% (in 2012)</td>
<td>37 MtCO₂e / 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>48 million / 53 million</td>
<td>100 cars per 1,000 people (in 2010) / 800 cars per 1,000 people (in 2040)</td>
<td>23 MtCO₂e / 10% (in 2010)</td>
<td>49 MtCO₂e / 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>4 million (in 2016) / 3.8 million (in 2030) → declining</td>
<td>139 vehicles per 1,000 (in 2011) / n/a</td>
<td>4.9 MtCO₂e / 37% (in 2014)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>44.2 million / 63.9 million***</td>
<td>60 motor vehicles per 1,000 people (in 2015) / n/a</td>
<td>7 MtCO₂e / 10% (in 2010)</td>
<td>24.2 MtCO₂e / 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>182 million / 263 million</td>
<td>29 cars per 1,000 people (in 2010) / 72 cars per 1,000 people (in 2035)</td>
<td>55 MtCO₂e / 13% (in 2015)</td>
<td>103 MtCO₂e / 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>32 million* / 42 million**</td>
<td>73 cars per 1,000 people (in 2014) / 99 cars per 1,000 people (in 2025)</td>
<td>15 MtCO₂e / 9% (in 2010)</td>
<td>31 MtCO₂e / 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>92 million / 105 million</td>
<td>n/a / 292 cars per 1,000 people (in 2050)</td>
<td>33 MtCO₂e / 13% (in 2010)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Key country highlights and overview of NDCs by case study
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall target (reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 compared to BAU levels)</th>
<th>Sectors covered</th>
<th>Transport sector targets (reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 compared to BAU levels)</th>
<th>Transport mitigation measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5% (unconditional target) 15% (conditional target) | All sectors apart from Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF). | 9% (unconditional target) 24% (conditional target) | • Modal shift from road to rail  
• Reduced congestion and improved running of traffic |
| 20% (unconditional target) 30% (conditional target) | • Transport  
• Energy  
• Agriculture  
• Housing  
• Health  
• Trade, tourism and industry | No transport sector target included. | No specific mitigation measures are stated in the official INDC. |
| 15% (unconditional target) 25% (conditional target) | All sectors apart from LULUCF. | No transport sector target included. | Vertically Integrated NAMA (V-NAMA) for the Urban Transport Sector |
| 30% (conditional target) | • Forestry  
• Electricity generation  
• Energy demand  
• Transport  
• Agriculture  
• Industrial processes waste | No transport sector target included, but reference to 2nd National Communication, which puts max. mitigation potential at ca. 17% (conditional) | No specific mitigation measures are stated in the official INDC. |
| 20% (unconditional target) 40% (conditional target) | • Electricity generation  
• Industry  
• Oil and gas  
• Transport  
• Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) | No transport sector target included. | • Modal shift from air to high speed rail  
• Moving road freight to rail  
• Upgrading roads  
• Urban transit  
• Toll roads/road pricing  
• Increasing use of CNG (compressed natural gas)  
• Reform of petrol/diesel subsidies |
| 20% (unconditional target) 30% (conditional target) | All sectors. Two sets of emissions scenarios one with and one without LULUCF. | No transport sector target included in the English language NDC submitted to the UNFCCC, but in the Spanish language background report a mitigation target of 3.37 MT CO₂ in 2030 is included | No specific mitigation measures are stated in the official INDC, but 10 measures are included in the Spanish language background report. |

Overall target (reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 compared to BAU levels)  
Sectors covered  
Transport sector targets (reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 compared to BAU levels)  
• Public transport extension in large urban areas  
• Shift of freight from road to rail and inland waterway  
• Standards on fuel consumption  
• Encourage buses and taxis to use CNG and LPG

* in 2016, ** in 2050, ***projections based on 2009 census
Increasing ambition – what are we talking about?

The Paris Agreement requires all countries to increase the ambition of their NDCs with every revision cycle. Ultimately, global ambition has to be high enough to keep global temperature change well below 2 degrees Celsius. Breaking this down to required ambition levels for individual countries appears more problematic. Nonetheless, considering the overall emission reductions necessary to achieve the Paris Agreement, and taking into account that sectors such as agriculture will always produce residual emissions, the transport sector needs to become fully carbon neutral around the middle of the century (UNEP, 2016). In other words, the ambition level needed in the transport sector should converge with a low-carbon pathway that meets carbon-neutrality around 2050 or shortly after. Current targets or actions included in NDCs do not yet conform to a full decarbonisation of the sector.

Clearly, this is a huge challenge for many reasons. Figure 3 illustrates some of the aspects affecting the level of ambition countries are willing to commit to.

Ambition, measured against the alignment with decarbonisation of the transport sector, is influenced by a range of factors. Available financial resources together with access to technology and capacity and knowledge influence the ability to act. This ability to act, together with visionary political leadership and good data determine the level of ambition. Data is important to model long-term strategies and technology pathways to avoid actions, which may lead to unintended lock-in effects (e.g. the switch to CNG reduces emissions to a certain extent, but CNG-infrastructure stands in the way of full decarbonisation in the longer term). If such ambition meets well-functioning institutions, ambitious actions on carbon-neutral transport are more likely to be taken. At the same time, civil society and the media may also influence decision-makers to take more ambitious actions where political leadership is lacking.

Figure 3: Factors influencing ambition in NDCs
3.1. Lack of transport data limits the sectoral ambition

The importance of good data for high level ambition targets should be highlighted. Analysis of the evidence suggests that estimates of mitigation potential are usually more conservative without adequate data and that the NDC development process was hindered by limited data availability. On the other hand, the analysis has also highlighted some examples where NDCs based on good data and a scientific modelling process have supported ambitious targets.

In Colombia, for example, the NDC process further highlighted the relevance of the transport sector for the environment. The analyses performed have demonstrated, through a sound scientific process, that with predicted rates of motorisation it will not be possible to have liveable and sustainable cities in the future if no action is taken, and that ambitious targets were therefore necessary. Good data, however, does not necessarily lead to ambitious targets; evidence from the case studies suggests that in some cases ambition is limited by high-level political considerations, even when good quality data is available.

In terms of transport sub-sectors, the road transport sector was generally the one with the most detailed and robust data. Consequently, the modelling was more exhaustive for this sector than for rail, water and air transport. Also, road freight data was not covered exhaustively in a number of countries. Further data gaps included activity data (which limited the development of bottom-up models) as well as data on travel behaviour, number of in-use vehicles, modal split, fuel efficiency of the vehicle fleet, and occupancy rates. Several of the case study countries are amongst some of the most vulnerable to the effects of climate change, and a key gap was the lack of data required to assess synergies between adaptation and mitigation. A general issue across all case study countries was also the consistency of data across different data sources, and substantial resources were used up for collecting and verifying data. In some cases high uncertainties in the data lead to the exclusion of certain sub-sectors from the NDC.

Several case study countries have taken steps towards improving the quality of the data. In Nigeria there are plans to introduce a transport survey, intended to collect data on road-based transport through a ‘lighthouse project’ as part of the implementation effort. In both Bangladesh and Vietnam, transport-specific indicators for tracking implementation and effectiveness of both mitigation and adaptation actions will be part of the MRV system. In Vietnam, different government ministries and agencies, including the Ministry of Transport, were required by presidential decree to collect and contribute data. This is currently supported by GIZ and will result in a new statistical circular of the Ministry of Transport. Similarly, in Kenya, the Climate Change Act (2016) requires line ministries to report on their sectoral GHG emissions.

The limitations of modelling were mainly connected to gaps and uncertainties in the input data. In Bangladesh, Nigeria and Vietnam the LEAP model was used, which ensured a robust and scientific modelling approach. In Colombia, Peru and Kenya the modelling was carried out in MS Excel, in Georgia the MARKAL model was used.

The analysis, however, has highlighted some limitations in the modelling that were not driven by the lack or low quality of data. These are connected to the modelling capacity of the NDC teams which needs to be addressed for future NDC revisions. Specific areas of improvement that were identified were the modelling of sustainable development benefits, the development of marginal abatement cost curves, emission modelling and the assessment of mitigation-adaptation relationships. Furthermore, the importance of continuity in the modelling teams was highlighted.

In addition, the transparency of NDC modelling was limited. The assumptions made in the transport sector were not always clear. For example, the assumptions that fed into the development of the BAU, information on the policies considered for the BAU scenarios, and also details on how policies were dealt with that were introduced after the base year, were often missing.

For realistic assessments of emission reductions good databases and data management are needed for all modes of transport and all sub-sectors.

Key for future NDC revisions will be improved transparency around modelling assumptions.
High ambition at the sector level can only be achieved with the buy-in from key transport actors such as state agencies but also transport industry representatives (e.g. haulier or vehicle manufacturers’ associations). The transport sector in developing countries plays an important role in providing the population with access to services and is closely linked to economic prosperity. It is particularly difficult to push an agenda that is not directly connected to growth in the sector. In countries where the NDC was clearly linked to the country’s national development plans (e.g. Colombia or Kenya) it was generally easier to get support from key actors for the NDC i.e. the stakeholders were more interested in being involved in the NDC development process and showed clearer support for emission reductions targets in the sector. Stakeholders highlighted that a quantification of the potential sustainable development benefits of transport mitigation would have improved sector buy-in even further. Support from key actors is essential not only for setting ambitious targets, but also to ensure that NDC implementation in the future will be ambitious and successful.

In Colombia, the scientific approach to emission scenario modelling as well as the high level of involvement of sector stakeholders ensured the sectors’ support for the emission reduction target. Nigeria had the advantage of strong support for the overall NDC through the president. In Bangladesh, the alignment with national strategies that focus on the country’s development priorities ensured increased ownership from the government. Also, in Vietnam, the alignment with national strategies (e.g. Climate Change, Green Growth and Sustainable Development) that had been developed since 2011 has helped gain the support of key stakeholders and led to the proactive promotion of a number of climate change response measures.

The case study analysis has shown that during the development of the NDC, the cooperation between the relevant authorities was not always satisfactory. In those cases the transport sector ministries had only minor involvement in the NDC development process, this was viewed to have negatively impacted on ownership and ambition from the transport sector. In at least three of the case study countries the engagement with policy makers in the transport sector appeared to be weak, despite the various stakeholder engagement processes, such as meetings, workshops, and technical and advisory committees initiated as part of the NDC development process. The study also identified that transport sector ministries often did not work closely with the national INDC focal point leading the process, which in many cases was the ministry of environment (or equivalent). In one country, no Ministry of Transport existed at all.

Coordination is also important for modelling: For instance, in one case study, the lack of coordination between the institution in charge of providing access to emission data and the modelling team led to inefficiencies, such as having to repeat the modelling process several times in order to take into account new emission data. This lack of coordination limited the quality and efficiency of transport sector modelling compared to those countries with strong engagement from their transport sector policy makers.

Some countries have already initiated steps to improve the capacity and coordination of sectoral ministries. For example, in Kenya, capacity development activities are underway across various sectors, including the transport sector under the USAID/UNDP Low Emission Capacity development project. In addition, the new Climate Change Act (from May 2016) has tasked each sectoral ministry to set up a climate change unit that will coordinate NDC implementation and reporting in the respective sectors. The institutionalisation of a climate change-focused role inside the Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure, Housing and Urban Development is yet to take place. Colombia has also taken steps to improve coordination between all entities involved in climate change by introducing the National Climate Change System (SISCLIMA), which brings together national and international actors, coordinating work on climate change that has, to date, been spread widely with few inter-linkages. Figure 4 gives an overview
Transport and climate ministries need to shape institutions and procedures for sectoral integration.

of the structure of SISCLIMA. The Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies (IDEAM), who develop Colombia’s emission inventory, is responsible for the Information of Climate Change Committee. This committee is in charge of researching, producing and communicating key data on climate change. With the introduction of SISCLIMA, Colombia has taken an important step to institutionalise cooperation between key actors in the field of climate change.

The introduction of the technical information committee under SISCLIMA is also an important step in ensuring the completeness and consistency of climate-relevant data. In the future one entity will be in charge of managing the information for future processes of updating the reports for the UNFCCC, the NDC, etc. In the transport sector there are further plans to oblige the authorities in bigger cities to report on the modal split and total number of trips every 3 years to improve the quality of activity data.

Across the other case study countries, plans for governance and coordination are being developed to various degrees of completion as part of the NDC implementation action plans. In Bangladesh for example the action plan for NDC implementation in the transport sector will include arrangements for governance and coordination including roles for the relevant ministries and guidance on how to engage with stakeholders and data providers effectively.

Figure 4: SISCLIMA Organisational Structure (Source: (E3G, 2014))

MADS = Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible / Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development
DNP = Departamento Nacional de Planeación / National Planning Department

* MADS = Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible / Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development, DNP = Departamento Nacional de Planeación / National Planning Department
Most countries have focused on the alignment of mitigation options and targets with existing, or upcoming, national level sectoral policies, climate strategies and communications submitted to the UNFCCC. Given the time and budgetary constraints, having such policies and strategies in place provided a strong advantage for the NDC development teams in those countries. Concerns were raised in cases where the timelines of the transport sector policies and plans were not in line with the NDC targets. For instance, in some cases an existing transport sector climate action plan for 2020 had limited influence on the NDC with a 2030 time-horizon. This was due to the lack of clarity on how the two plans would fit together given their different timelines.

Colombia provides a good-practice example for alignment of the NDC with existing policy; the Universidad de los Andes conducted the modelling for the NDC and took into consideration transport policy documents, such as the National Mitigation Action Plan for the transport sector (PAS), for the modelling. Furthermore, the Ministry of Transport reviewed all of the models, making sure that they were in line with what was developed in the sector previously. A good alignment with the national climate strategies was therefore ensured as the NDC was based on work carried out for the development of Colombia’s Low-Carbon Development Strategy.

In Vietnam, climate change strategies are drivers for transport strategies and energy programmes with transport components. The NDC was aligned with the strategic sustainable transport policy framework, which focuses on different transport specific strategies. The active role of the Ministry of Transport and its Department of Environment was clearly advantageous for including transport in the NDC.
The analyses of case studies has shown that there is a need for capacity development in transport ministries. NDC development was generally coordinated by the climate ministries, and the modelling was often carried out by external experts. In many of the NDC processes analysed, the involvement of the transport ministries was minor. The reasons for this were in some cases due to different priorities and interests, but predominantly due to a lack of climate change expertise in the transport ministries as well as the lack of institutionalised processes to involve them. Across the case studies, international support was key for the development of the NDC but also for transferring international expertise to local consultancies. In Nigeria for example, the capacity building happened through full-time secondees into the NDC team, which was highlighted as a particularly efficient way of transferring knowledge. Also in Vietnam the NDC development process was considered to be a good example for international cooperation, as national and international experts worked successfully and effectively together. To improve the knowledge sharing even further, more face-to-face exchange over a longer period of time was considered to be beneficial. Similar suggestions were made in Colombia, where it was proposed that more international experts should stay for longer periods of time in the transport ministries to help develop technical knowledge and also increase awareness for climate change.

In many of the case study countries, the climate ministries have now transferred some of the NDC responsibility to different sectors. The current cooperation of transport ministries with climate change teams, however, still varies significantly – ranging from being virtually non-existent to very engaged. In Colombia, the Ministry of Transport has taken steps to take the NDC forward by creating a new division called GAADS (Group for Environmental Affairs and Sustainable Development), which is now in charge of modelling and reporting on mitigation measures in the transport sector. In Vietnam, the Department of Environment within the Ministry of Transport now organises capacity development on climate. The institutional setting also helps to mainstream key knowledge. For example, the Ministry of Transport has set up an internal working group for the preparation of a “GHG inventory in Transport” which will report data to the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources for the official Vietnamese inventory.

A common problem during the NDC development process, as well as in the ongoing NDC implementation process, is the lack of personnel in climate change teams of transport ministries – if they exist at all. Across all case study countries limited human resources were an issue and hampered the NDC process. Personnel with technical knowledge are needed as well as staff with strategic/policy level knowledge. To be able to take NDCs forward in an effective manner, resources need to be increased and accounted for in ministerial budgets for staff.

A key lesson learnt highlighted in some of the case studies was the importance of continuity in teams to build up expertise and knowledge. In one extreme example, the experts in the climate change team in the transport ministry are only contracted on a yearly basis, with no guaranteed overlap in team members from one year to the next. This practice has led to a constant loss of knowledge, which has slowed down the process of building climate change capacity within the ministry. Similar issues, albeit less extreme, were also identified in other case study countries. In Vietnam, the Transport Development and Strategies Institute (TDSI), a research centre owned by the government, helps to ensure key knowledge is maintained and built upon in this area.

Climate change capacity is not only needed on national level, because many decisions on transport are taken by regional or local level authorities. Except for NDC development in Georgia, where the key stakeholders in the process were the cities, regional or local authorities, case study countries were often insufficiently engaged during the NDC development process. The analysis identified the particular importance of capacity development at the regional/local level for taking NDC implementation forward. Given that many of the identified mitigation actions are under the remit of municipalities and regional authorities, it is important that there is capacity and leadership at the regional/local level to help secure financing, implementation and monitoring. Across the case study countries, a current lack of such capacity was highlighted, along with the urgent need to address the issue.
4. Recommendations for sectoral integration

Since the first INDC submission in 2015, countries have worked on NDC implementation plans and some countries have further developed transport mitigation actions. In parallel, countries are improving their databases and developing capacity in preparation of NDC submission in 2020. NDC development is a periodic process, with NDC submissions due every five years. Throughout the process stakeholder dialogue will be important. As countries progress, more ambitious actions will have to be considered with each new NDC. Activities supporting NDC development follow these cycles.

More specifically, the NDC timeline can be broken down into three iterative process steps, which partly run in parallel:

1. Preparation of the NDC groundwork (data collection, analysis and maintenance) and – for subsequent NDCs – monitoring

2. Development and negotiation of the NDC (pulling information together from different sectors, modelling and agreeing on NDC targets)

3. NDC implementation and integration in sectoral policies

Based on the assessment of the lessons learnt across the different case study countries, a number of recommendations were developed. All recommendations have to be considered in the light of the NDC timeline as shown in Figure 5 (see next page).

The recommendations developed as part of this study have been tailored for the transport sector, focusing on the following key actors in the NDC process:

- Climate ministries7, i.e. the ministries responsible for the NDC coordination, depending on the organisation of the national climate process these could be environment/climate or energy ministries

- Sector ministries (typically transport ministries but depending on the individual measures could also be energy ministries (e.g. for measures on electric vehicles) or industry ministries (e.g. for fuel efficiency measures)

- Donors/the international community

These are the stakeholder groups that were most prominently highlighted in the input from the case study stakeholders. The NDC process of course involves further types of stakeholders, such as finance ministries, industry, NGOs or academia, which are not discussed in detail in this study but are mentioned throughout the following chapter.

---

7 Could also be climate or energy ministries depending on the organization of the national climate process.
4.1. Recommendations for climate ministries

Climate ministries are particularly relevant in steering the NDC development process and typically lead the development of the NDC. For the transport sector, they have to coordinate with transport ministries and consider transport data. Crucial aspects in NDC development which climate ministries have to consider are data collection processes and coordination formats between key actors.

As important for transport authorities to build capacities on climate change, climate ministries need to develop knowledge on transport mitigation actions. This could be achieved through a dedicated transport unit or working group placed within the climate ministry. Such bodies could help setting up data sharing agreements and provide emission factors (in g CO₂/km) for assessing impacts of transport mitigation actions. Those bodies may also guide the assessment and modelling of emission reduction potential for mitigation actions. However, this task may also be given to transport ministries or even be carried out jointly.

Closing gaps in the capacity to model transport GHG mitigation measures is important for preparing the NDC groundwork and will ensure a more comprehensive modelling approach for the first and subsequent revisions to NDCs. Specific areas of improvement highlighted by interviewees were the modelling of sustainable development benefits, the development of marginal abatement cost (MAC) curves, emissions modelling and the assessment of relationships between GHG mitigation and climate adaptation. In the transport sector, sustainable development benefits of transport GHG mitigation measures that in particular should be included in the calculations are impacts of alleviated congestion, less energy imports and reduced air pollution.

In addition, the transparency of the modelling should be improved for future NDC revisions. Modelling teams should involve transport ministries in the process of developing assumptions for the scenarios. Climate ministries should make sure that processes/templates are in place to capture model-
ling details, such as the assumptions used for BAU scenarios. Furthermore, data needs to be available in a format that can be accessed and easily understood by other stakeholders involved in the NDC development process.

Climate ministries need to take charge and facilitate cooperation with transport ministries through the establishment of relevant institutions and procedures. This will help to formalise the cooperation needed for successfully taking NDCs forward, and also help ensure longer-term collaboration. As discussed above, the NDC development was particularly successful in cases where the NDCs were clearly linked with transport sector policies, plans and strategies. The same will be the case for future NDC developments (phase 2). Measures in line with transport sector plans will be more likely to receive support from key transport actors, and also be more likely to be quickly implemented.

Institutional arrangements, such as cross-ministerial working groups, also help to ensure resource needs within the transport sector and other sectors are met when accessing climate finance. Working groups or round tables could facilitate data sharing agreements and bring together climate and transport experts to review implemented mitigation measures for the transport sector. Transport sector mitigation targets and commitments need to refer to real policy development and effective implementation. The institutional arrangements ideally include coordination between the energy and transport sector e.g. in order to communicate the transport sector’s demand for renewable electricity. Such participative scenario development processes can strengthen the ownership and buy-in of relevant stakeholders, which become crucial for NDC implementation.

The climate ministries could promote the need for well-motivated transport climate action plans (in line with existing policies, e.g. national development plans, climate change strategies and transport sector mitigation and adaptation action plans). To ensure support from the transport sector, the sustainable development benefits of transport mitigation measures should be assessed by the NDC modelling teams and discussed in joint working groups, including the climate and transport ministries. Any sustainable development benefits identified could be used for United Nation’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) reporting as an added benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1: Preparing the NDC groundwork (year 1-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Building capacities on transport within climate ministries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allocating sufficient resources and time to support the assessment of measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Closing gaps in the modelling of mitigation measures for the transport sector, e.g. sustainable development benefits, emission factors or abatement costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facilitating data sharing agreements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 2: Developing the NDC (year 3-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Considering transport sector plans in NDC development and suggest transport sector targets based on assessment of mitigation potentials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Actively engaging transport stakeholders early on in the process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordinating between the energy and transport sector e.g. in order to communicate the transport sector’s demand for renewable electricity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 3: Implementing the NDC (year 6 onwards)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Setting-up institutional arrangements, (e.g. a transport working group) to coordinate NDC implementation in transport, and involve all relevant ministries (e.g. ministry of transport, energy, finance, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engaging in detailed discussions of policy development to advocate for effective implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Organising review meetings and request evaluation of measures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Overview on recommendations for climate ministries
4.2. Recommendations for transport ministries

Transport ministries are generally in charge of policy development and implementation of measures in the transport sector and key activities for NDC implementation. They also have to collect and provide relevant transport data. The degree of involvement of transport ministries in the NDC development process depends on their technical capacity and resources.

In order to feed into phase 1 of the periodic NDC development (preparing the groundwork) it will be very important to introduce dedicated climate change experts within transport ministries, with the knowledge and capability to understand the links between the transport sector and climate change, and to take an active role in coordinating with various transport sector stakeholders. Vietnam is a good example where the Department for Environment in the Ministry of Transport is responsible for climate change in transport. It was not only consulted during NDC development but is also identified as coordinating body for NDC implementation.

Technical knowledge needs to be developed in transport ministries, in particular, around modelling, data collection and monitoring, reporting and verification. If the full capacity cannot be developed in-house, the transport ministries need at least enough understanding of the topic to be able to assess and judge the modelling outcomes. Further areas of capacity development could be policy design and implementation but also on identifying and accessing financing options. The transport ministries need to have enough funds and personnel available to develop climate knowledge. Ideally, these additional funds should be provided to sector ministries by treasuries/finance ministries from overall annual budgets. The ministries furthermore need to ensure that there is a level of continuity in the climate change teams to ensure that key knowledge is maintained and built upon over time.

A further step that is key for the first phase in the NDC process is the preparation of improved transport data collection. To ensure that data is consistent and covers all indicators and transport sub-sectors required for successful modelling, periodic and recurring data collection processes have to be established. Larger data collection exercises are needed, with processes to regularly and consistently archive and update the data. Transport ministries, in cooperation with the climate ministries, need to define indicators and data collection processes (e.g. mileage surveys) as well as identify suitable collection intervals and mechanisms for establishing consistency in data reported by different entities.

Given that many of the currently envisaged mitigation measures are focused on urban and passenger transport, municipalities and regional authorities play a crucial role in the implementation process (phase 3 in the NDC process). At the local/regional level, the approach to develop capacity might be...
Transport ministries should take charge for building up a capacity development programme, if necessary with international support, which will then be made available to the individual local/regional authorities. For continued support and capacity development at a local level, it could be advantageous to build a market for consultancy work locally. For example, the use of a team containing both international and national consultants, as used for developing Nigeria's and Vietnam's NDCs, enabled the transfer of international experience to local consultancies. In order to motivate mitigation actions at the local level, national ministries can also make their administrators at different levels of hierarchy accountable to the achievement of mitigation targets or the implementation of mitigation measures. For instance, some countries are discussing whether to include climate change targets as part of performance contracts of government staff, e.g. in Kenya.

Transport ministries should take charge to ensure the support of key actors across public authorities (e.g. state agencies covering different sub-sectors, such as civil aviation authorities, road safety authorities, rail agencies, etc.) as well as industry representatives (e.g. association of hauliers, vehicle manufacturers, fuel distributors, etc.) and the wider public. The involvement of transport ministries could, for example, be ensured through sector targets. Bangladesh indicates in its NDC that the transport sector target shall by 2030 reduce emissions by 9% (unconditional) to 24% (conditional to international support) compared to the baseline scenario. Peru (3.37 MT CO₂ in 2030 against BAU) and Kenya (2-3.5 Mt in 2030 against BAU) have also identified transport targets in climate action plans referred to in their NDCs. Such targets move responsibilities to transport ministries and help to mainstream actions in sectoral policies. However, transport ministries must be involved and actively participate in NDC development. Only receiving targets from the climate ministries will not lead to creating ownership and effective implementation.

Engagement activities here need to be tailored to the different stakeholder groups, including the private sector. A dialogue with stakeholders raises awareness across the sector about the importance of transport for climate change, and also highlights potential sustainable development benefits of transport sector mitigation actions. This creates more urgency for taking mitigation actions. Stakeholder activities could be steered by a dedicated working group or committee.

| Phase 1: Preparing the NDC groundwork (year 1-3) | • Developing dedicated climate change experts/units within transport ministries (personnel / budget).  
• Establishing periodic and recurring data collection processes, of which timescales should be in line with 5-year NDC cycle. |
| Phase 2: Developing the NDC (year 3-5) | • Actively participating in NDC development stakeholder groups |
| Phase 3: Implementing the NDC (year 6 onwards) | • Developing NDC implementation plans for transport and mainstream NDC objectives into transport policies.  
• Allocating budget to implement mitigation actions.  
• Getting hold of capacity development programmes for transport authorities also for the local/regional level.  
• Ensuring the support of the private sector and NGOs (integrated and cooperative stakeholder engagement process). |

Table 3: Overview on recommendations for transport ministries
4.3. Recommendations for international donors

Donor organisations (such as international aid agencies, development banks, philanthropies, the UN, etc.) can support government agencies with financial and technical assistance across all NDC steps. Essentially, donor and international cooperation support should enable countries to increase the level of ambition of their GHG mitigation (and climate adaptation) efforts and support implementation. This support is also crucial to align the support with NDC timelines, so that data and outputs are available when needed, e.g. development of updated emission scenarios does not start only a year before the next NDC submission. This means that timings of NDC development need to be considered when designing funding lines and support programmes.

In particular, scenario development will benefit from guidance on the timescales that need to be considered in order to allow enough time for robust data collection and modelling before NDC revisions are required. Whenever there are no near-term submission deadlines, priority should be put on improving databases and resource capabilities, so that ministries are well-prepared when the NDC development process kicks-off. Together with the national authorities, data collection timescales have to be developed that ensure relevant data is available for future steps in NDC development. A thorough gap analysis is needed and effective data collection procedures should be designed to close these gaps.

International organisations working on transport data such as the International Energy Agency, the International Transport Forum or the Global Tracking Framework of the Sustainable Mobility for All (SuM4All) Initiative may help with selection of indicators and can be used for capacity building. There could also be options for donors to jointly support improvements to data. This could be achieved through endorsing an open data policy and putting more effort into ensuring that technical background reports and databases, built up in their work, are handed over to public institutions as well as made publicly available and shared in the community.

Finally, development and donor organisations should put effort into harmonising quantification approaches and the use of data.

Since more and more support is targeted towards implementation and revision of NDCs, early coordination of donor support becomes ever more important. The NDC Partnership launched in December 2016 can be instrumental in this task. A thematic

---

12 http://www.ndcpartnership.org/
13 https://www.ndc-cluster.net/
15 https://www.thegef.org/topics/capacity-building-initiative-transparency-cbit
16 https://www.transparency-partnership.net/
17 https://ee.ricardo.com/events/climate-finance-accelerator-london-2017
working group on transport may be beneficial to support countries that ask for support in the transport sector. Such innovative programmes/platforms for knowledge sharing could provide trainings and enable peer-to-peer learning and help to channel more technical assistance on climate change into the transport sector.

International finance that is earmarked for NDC implementation in transport can also help in changing the agendas of transport ministries, and creating interest in capacity development and coordination. However, support could also be provided to transport sector ministries to help identify and access public and private financing options for NDC implementation projects. To use also traditional development aid, the international community could put more emphasis on quantifying sustainable development benefits and avoid costs of adaptation in the transport sector. This would also help to put climate change mitigation higher on the political agenda in the transport sector.

Providing more funding for pre-feasibility studies and preparation of mitigation actions could be another focus for donor organisations. A common issue for NDC implementation support regarding financing, is the lack of bankable projects. More funding lines may be needed that address that gap and provide grants for project development and capacity development. GIZ’s TRANSfer project funded through the International Climate Initiative of the German Ministry for Environment represents a good case in point. Essentially a preparation facility for mitigation measures in the transport sector, TRANSfer has successfully facilitated the development of several transport NAMAs, four of which have won international climate finance (e.g. from the NAMA Facility) and are now being implemented. While some funding is already available, more of this type of support is needed to achieve the required transformative changes within the scale and short timelines necessary to achieve the Paris Agreement.

| Phase 1: Preparing the NDC groundwork (year 1-3) | • Ensuring that the support provided is timely and linked to NDC cycle.  
• Providing access to expertise on transport and climate change (e.g. helpdesk on transport data and mitigation actions).  
• Providing support for data collection and modelling, as well as policy design to transport ministries and their agencies.  
• Coordinating with donors working on transport (not just climate/NDC). |
| --- | --- |
| Phase 2: Developing the NDC (year 3-5) | • Providing insights into best-practice stakeholder engagement processes.  
• Communicating the sustainable development benefits of transport mitigation actions (e.g. increasing energy security, reducing air pollution, etc.). |
| Phase 3: Implementing the NDC (year 6 onwards) | • Enabling transport agencies to access climate finance  
• Providing earmarked climate finance to transport.  
• Supporting pipeline development for transport mitigation actions (e.g. pre-feasibility studies, stakeholder consultations) to increase the number of bankable projects.  
• Providing technical assistance on climate action implementation (e.g. policy design). |

Table 4: Overview on recommendations for donors

5. Outlook on raising ambition of NDCs

NDCs are political documents that list a country’s aims and are used in the context of international negotiations. While the INDCs submitted before COP21 in Paris have been developed under time constraints and there were neither examples nor common standards for NDCs at the time of development, the next generation of NDCs can be prepared in a better way and be more closely linked to long-term emission reduction strategies. To gain a more comprehensive picture of how transport can be considered in upcoming NDCs, the analysis at country level gave important insights into current barriers and opportunities for raising ambition. The assessment of the case studies has also shed light on how ambition regarding GHG emissions reductions in the transport sector can be improved beyond the universal need for political leadership.

To successfully increase ambition, there is a need to link mid-term NDC targets more closely with long-term decarbonisation strategies, something that is currently missing in most NDCs. If long-term targets up to 2050 are not considered, the NDC targets might not be ambitious enough to meet them. Ideally, modelling would include not only identification of mitigation potentials, but also back-casting on how to meet the long-term targets. Developing such pathways requires improving the transport sector database and transport demand forecasts step-by-step. Increased data quality will help to improve the accuracy of modelling, and consequently will give the modelling findings greater authority in political decision making.

In addition, the pool of measures considered needs to be widened. This includes intensification of avoid, shift and improve options, such as consideration of fuel economy standards or the upscaling of measures from one city to a nation-wide programme, as well as moving towards renewable fuels. To decarbonise, it will not be enough (but important) to improve the efficiency of existing systems; big and disruptive ideas are needed too.

Ultimately, decarbonisation of the transport sector will depend on the energy transition and cannot be handled by transport stakeholders alone. Instead it must be developed in a coordinated manner with other sectors, e.g. the energy sector for renewable fuels (Power-to-Gas or Power-to-Liquid) or the industry sector for provision of alternative vehicle technologies. Access to improved technology options is an important ingredient for higher ambition in developing and emerging countries. Additional research and development funds and projects, both international and national, private and public, may contribute to improve the ability to act of transport decision-makers.

Climate ministries, together with transport ministries, should aim at initiating a dialogue with other sectors to explore possible synergies. For future NDCs, this might help identify measures that have not been considered previously in an isolated analysis of the transport sector, e.g. electric vehicles integrated into smart grids for renewable energy or fuel pricing. Such cross-sector cooperation could be supported by the climate ministries through institutionalised processes of cooperation between sectors.
Another aspect influencing the ability to act of transport ministries is the availability of financial resources. Ultimately, climate finance for decarbonising transport will need to trigger changes in national budgets, channelling available funds towards sustainable transport, upgrading resources to build institutional capacity, and incentivising low carbon transport options. Currently, this is not yet addressed in NDCs. In addition, stimulating private capital is required at a larger scale, both for technology development and provision of low-carbon transport services. The international community urgently needs to develop new finance instruments that stimulate and allow the transport sector to act fast.

For political leadership e.g. by transport ministries, the promotion of the sustainable development benefits of transport mitigation actions will be crucial. An improved understanding of such benefits may help to prioritise transport actions. Many mitigation measures contribute to better air quality, congestion reduction and increased public health, all of which spur prosperity and wellbeing, but may not be fully considered or recognised in the development of NDCs by government ministries.

Different ministries may focus on different benefits:

- Energy security is a topic for energy ministries and finance ministries and may lead to fuel economy policies or electrification;
- Air quality benefits may be an incentive for climate (environmental) ministries to build up transport capacity and partner with health ministries;
- Pricing measures may be interesting for finance ministries.

Making all sustainability benefits explicit will also help national policy makers better understand the contribution of transport GHG mitigation actions to achieving wider sustainable development goals. A sound scientific basis for emission scenarios and their development benefits may increase the buy-in of key actors in the sector, which is essential for the endorsement of high ambition emission reduction targets.

Finally, high ambition targets can also be driven by pressure from the private transport sector. A prerequisite for this, however, is that the sectoral stakeholders have a good understanding of the issues and the related consequences. Such an understanding can be developed through an integrated and cooperative stakeholder engagement process during the modelling and policy discussion. This ensures that key actors verify data and assumptions related to policies. Raising awareness across the sector on the importance of transport to tackle climate change and providing policy certainty may help create an improved urgency for taking actions.
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