

IKI Country Call selection criteria

The projects are assessed and selected by the respective ministries according to the following selection criteria:

Criteria		Explanations	
! - Minimum requirements			
Both the minimum requirements and the assessment criteria are used to assess the outlines submitted. All minimum requirements which, if not met, will lead to the exclusion of the project outline from the selection process are marked with a “!”.			
Formal eligibility of the project concept			
Funding requirements			
1	!	Submission in due time via online platform	The project outline was submitted in due time and via the IKI online platform.
2	!	Completeness of the documents	The documents were submitted completely and in accordance with the specifications.
3	!	Need for funding	There is a need for funding.
4	!	No early start of measures	The project implementation has not yet started before the submission of the outline.
5	!	Exclusion criteria	The project does not provide for any activities that are excluded from funding in accordance with the IKI exclusion criteria .

Duration and amount of funding			
6	!	Funding volume	The IKI funding volume proposed in the project outline is in line with the specifications of the respective thematic priority. Exceeding or falling short of the permissible funding volume will lead to the exclusion of the project outline.
7	!	Project duration	The maximum duration of funding is eight years.
Project funding			
8		Own funds	The financial contributions of the lead organisation as well as the amount of additional funding resources (co-financing) are clear and appropriate.
9		Private sector mobilisation	The project should contribute to mobilising private finance.
Choice of partner country / countries			
10	!	Partner country Brazil	The outline envisages the implementation of the project activities exclusively in Brazil.
Technical eligibility of the project concept			
11	!	Objective of the thematic priority	The planned project is technically suitable for achieving the specific objectives of the respective thematic priority.
Implementation context			
12		Relevance for the implementation of the NDCs/NBSAPs/NAPs	The planned project makes a relevant contribution to the implementation of NDCs/NAPs/NBSAPs.
13		Connectivity with national/regional policies	The planned project ties in with specific underlying political and legal frameworks as well as policies and priorities in the country and leverages existing partnerships.

14		Relevance for implementing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) of the UN	The planned project follows the comprehensive approach of the 2030 Agenda and takes into account all applicable SDGs. Possible conflicting goals are taken into consideration.
15		Political backing	The project outline indicates that the government of the partner country generally supports the planned project activities.
16		Linking to the project/funding landscape	The planned project ties in with the existing local project landscape/funding landscape. Double funding is avoided
Project planning			
17		Theory of change/results chain	The project outline demonstrates the quality of its problem-solving approach by applying the OECD results chain (please refer to Guidelines on Project Planning and Monitoring in the International Climate Initiative). The proposed solution approach is ambitious and can be realistically implemented within the selected time frame with the available budget.
18		Ambition and measurability	The planned project has ambitious goals for the respective project context and is designed for measurable results. Considering gender justice during project planning is positively assessed.
19		Transformation	The project aims to bring about systemic and/or behavioural changes in decision-makers or a considerable number of individuals or institutions.
20		Innovation	The planned project offers an innovative solution for a specific region.
21		Environmental and social risks, possible safeguard measures	Possible environmental and social risks as well as possible safeguards measures are presented in a comprehensible and appropriate manner. The commitment to comply with the Safeguards Standards is a funding requirement.
22		Prevention of discrimination (including implementation of the IKI gender strategy)	The project concept provides for the implementation of specific measures to promote gender justice and prevent discrimination. These measures serve to implement the project objectives and are clearly recognisable in the results chain.

Target groups			
23		Participation and knowledge transfer to target groups	The project outline clearly shows how relevant target groups and their concerns have been or will be included in the previous and future project design and implementation. It also clearly shows how knowledge transfer to relevant target groups is to take place.
Sustainable and replicability of the project results			
24		Exit strategy	The project outline indicates how project activities contribute to ensuring that funded activities and results continue or are maintained once the IKI funding ends (exit strategy).
25		Replicability	The planned project can be replicated in other countries/regions and/or in other sectors.
Eligibility of implementing organisations			
Eligibility of the consortium			
26	!	Application as consortium Number of consortium partners	The project outline provides for an application as a consortium, i.e. an association of at least two and a maximum of four consortium partners. Exceeding the maximum permissible number of consortium partners will lead to the exclusion of the outline.
27		Local content (50%rule)	As far as possible, 50% of the funding will be implemented by actors classified as local organisations (please refer to Annex 3: Classification as “local” organisations)
28		Appropriate distribution of roles and tasks	The roles and tasks within the consortium are clearly and appropriately assigned.
29		Appropriate budget allocation	The total budget allocation between the implementing organisations is documented and appropriate.
Eligibility of implementing organisations			

30		Technical eligibility and qualification of all implementing organisations	The lead organisation and consortium partners have the necessary technical and administrative eligibility as well as management competence to implement and coordinate the planned project activities.
31		Access to relevant stakeholders	The lead organisation presents its access to the stakeholders in the partner country relevant to the project in a comprehensible way – either directly or via the consortium partners.
32	!	Legal structure	The lead organisation and consortium partners have a suitable legal form and are therefore eligible for funding.
Formal eligibility of the lead organisation			
33	!	Turnover criterion	The lead organisation meets the turnover criterion.
34	!	Expenditure based accounting	The accounting of the lead organisation is done on an expenditure basis (applicable for organisations with headquarters in Germany).
Technical eligibility of the lead organisation			
35	!	Experience in international cooperation	The lead organisation has at least five years of experience in thematically relevant project implementation in ODA countries.
36		Country expertise	The lead organisation should have experience in Brazil.